THE RICHARD L. VEGA GROUP

Telecommunications Engineers/Consultants

RECEIVED

1245 W. Fairbanks Avenue, Suite 380 Winter Park, FL 32789-4878

JUL - 8 1997

(407)539-6540 ♦ FAX: (407)539-6547 ♦ email: vega@magicnet.net

FCC MAIL ROOM

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

July 7, 1997

William F. Caton, Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 222 Washington, DC 20554 DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Re:

Reply Comments to WT-Docket 97-82; DA-97-679:

Broadband PCS C and F Block Installment Payment Issues:

Duluth PCS, Inc., St. Joseph PCS, Inc., and West Virginia PCS, Inc.

Dear Mr. Caton:

Submitted herewith, on behalf of the above referenced, in original and ten(10) copies, is a reply to those comments received by the Federal Communications Commission, pertaining to the Federal Communications Commission Public Notice, WIRELESS TELECOM-MUNICATIONS BUREAU SEEKS COMMENTS ON BROADBAND PCS C AND F BLOCK INSTALLMENT PAYMENT ISSUES, WT Docket 97-82; DA 97-678, released June 2, 1997. These comments are being submitted on or before the July 8, 1997, response date.

Should the Commission have any questions concerning these matters please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Richard L. Vega, Jr.

President

Encl:

cc: Duluth PCS, Inc., et al.

No. of Copies rec'd 021

TO THE

JUL - 8 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION FCC MAIL ROOM

Reply Comments of:)	WT Docket 97-82
)	DA-97-679
Duluth PCS, Inc.,)	
)	Broadband PCS C and F Block
St. Joseph PCS, Inc.,)	Installment Payment Issues
)	
and West Virginia PCS, Inc.)	

Duluth PCS, Inc., et al ("Licensees"), hereby submits its reply to the comments received by the Commission in response to the captioned proceedings. For the reasons set forth below, the Licensees submit that the public interest, convenience, and necessity would be served by the adoption of its recommendations as submitted for consideration during the initial comment period.

JUSTIFICATION FOR DEBT RESTRUCTURING IS SHOWN IN COMMENTS

The Licensees agree with the Small Business Coalition ("The Coalition") in its comments, which state that "...any modification of existing obligation should be a measured response to the realities of the financial marketplace, rather than a rescue mission for licensees in financial

jeopardy as a result of their own actions.¹" The Licensees believe that the underlying demise in the financial environment for PCS financing can be attributed to three factors: A/B Block head start to the financial markets; obsessively high bid prices paid by a few Designated Entity ("DE") Bidders; and the **financial institutions' forced subordinated position to the FCC.**With regard to the latter factors, it is paramount for the Commission to understand that, prior to the commencement of the auction, the bidders were <u>not</u> made aware of the necessity to sign security agreements and promissory notes at the conclusion of the auction, giving the Commission a senior collateralized position. Had the bidders known that the investors would become subordinate to the Commission, it is predicted that the bid amounts would not have gone as high.

The head start that the A/B Block bidders received over the C Block bidders has lead to a phenomenon in which the value of the obligation to the FCC now exceeds the value that investors calculate the entire PCS opportunity. In its comments, Bear Stearns and Company, Inc. ("Bear-Stearns"), correctly states that uncollateralized lenders will want to be able to rely on the continuity of the PCS business and, under the Commissions current structure, the financial "stumble" by the Licensee would result in revocation of the license. Hence, the lender would lose full value to the junior debt.²

¹See comments of the Small Business Coalition, dated June 23, 1997.

²See Bear-Stearns Comments, dated June 23, 1997.

OVERWHELMING MAJORITY SUPPORT SOME FORM OF PAYMENT RELIEF

An overwhelming majority of the comments received by the Commission are in full or partial support of some payment restructuring in order to compensate for the current financial conditions and the unforseen requirement to pledge its license(s) among other things to the Commission in the event of default. In the comments submitted by Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems, Inc., states that relief is consistent with Congressional and Commission intent to which the Licensees concur.³ To truly fulfill the desires by Congress that the economic opportunity be afforded to those disadvantaged entities, relief is essential.

ALTERNATIVE PROPOSALS TO DEBT RESTRUCTURING

While an overwhelming majority of the commenters agree that payment restructuring should be adopted, very few focus specifically on fair methods of bid amount reduction, other than the reoccurring plea that the Commission should readjust the amounts downward to more closely reflect A and B Block prices paid. The Licensees, in its comments, submitted a more scientific approach to calculate bid amount reduction. It's approach would take into account multiple factors such as the prices paid for all six individual licenses made available in the PCS Broadband service and to the disparity between larger sized markets opposed to smaller sized markets (not all POPS are created equal). Attached are the full set of comments submitted by

³See Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems, Inc., Comments, dated June 23, 1997.

the Licensees which details the appropriate method to recalculate the debt owed to the government. In short, the Licensees were able to devise a multiplier which should be used to recalculate debt to the government, based primarily on market size.

The Licensees do support the additional comments submitted by various commenters, including Horizon Personal Communications ("Horizon"), who proposes that the Commission alter its partitioning and/or disaggregating rules to allow each licensee to negotiate its own arrangement with a third party if it desires.⁴ Additionally, the Licensees hope that the Commission recognizes that the bid amounts, even if adjusted, exceed even the most far reaching predicted amounts raised by the government in the auction, and as such, should move to completely eliminate the barriers of "unjust enrichment" rules. The Licensees support Clearcom in their request that the Commission eliminate the unjust enrichment rules in those cases where DE Bidders paid extensively more than comparable spectrum paid by non DE Entities.⁵

CONCLUSIONS

It is evident that the Commission is justified and within its power to make modifications to its rules to enforce the intent of Congress, which calls for the Commission to promote

⁴See Comments of Horizon Personal Communications, dated June 20, 1997.

⁵See Comments of Clearcom, dated June 23, 1997.

participation by disadvantaged entities. The Licensees urge the Commission to adopt its plan as originally submitted, with its comments included herein for reference, and apply these changes only for those existing C and F Block Licensees with <u>less than 40 Million Dollars in annual gross revenue</u>.

Sincerely,

Richard L. Vega, Jr.
Its Vice President
Duluth PCS, Inc.
St. Joseph PCS, Inc.
West Virginia PCS, Inc.
1245 W. Fairbanks Avenue
Suite 380
Winter Park, FL 32789-4878

July 8, 1997

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Tina L. Little, hereby certify that copies of the foregoing "Reply Comments of: Duluth PCS, Inc., St. Joseph PCS, Inc., and West Virginia PCS, Inc." in WT Docket No. 97-82, in response to Public Notice DA 97-679, were served via Federal Express Priority Overnight Service this 7th day of July, 1997, to the persons listed below:

Reed Hundt Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 814 Washington, DC 20554

James Quello Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 802 Washington, DC 20554

Rachelle Chong Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 826 Washington, DC 20554

Susan Ness Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 832 Washington, DC 20554

ATTN: Sande Taxali Auctions and Industry Analysis Division Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, NW Room 5322 Washington, DC 20554

Dan Phythyon **Acting Chief** Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, NW Room 5002 Washington, DC 20554

Signed this Ith day of July, 1997.

Tina Lynn Little

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Tina L. Little, hereby certify that copies of the foregoing "Reply Comments of: Duluth PCS, Inc., St. Joseph PCS, Inc., and West Virginia PCS, Inc." in WT Docket No. 97-82, in response to Public Notice DA 97-679, were served via US Mail this 7th day of July, 1997, to the persons listed below:

Victoria G. Kane, Authorized Rep. Aer Force Communications 350 Stuyvesant Avenue Rye, NY 10580

Carl J. Artman, President & COO AiraDigm Communications 2305 Kelbe Drive Little Chute, WI 54140

Paul Kimura, President BRK Wireless Company, Inc. 194 Austin Park Ridge, IL 60068

Lisa-Gaye Shearing, President Devon Mobile Communications, L.P. 5 West Third Street Coudersport, PA 16915

Ms. Nara Cadorin High Country Communications, L.P. 1448 North Lake Shore Drive Chicago, IL 60610

Gerard G. Adams, Vice President Integrated Communications Group Corporation 1122 East Green Street Pasadena, CA 91106

J. D. Bertha Coffin, PresidentKansas Personal Communications Services, LTD14 West Main StreetCouncil Grove, KS 66846

Continued

William Yu, Treasurer Longstreet Communication International, Inc. 77 Longstreet Road Holmdel, NJ 07733

Richard Galekovich Magnacom Wireless, L.L.C. 4317 North East Thurston Way Vancouver, WA 98662

Ronald Laqua, President North Dakota PCS Alliance 10300 6th Avenue, North Plymouth, MN 55441

John W. Anderson, President Northern Michigan PCS Consortium, L.L.C. 618 River Street Ontonagon, MI 49953

Javier O. Lamoso, President Clearcomm, L.P. 361 San Francisco Street, 1st Floor San Juan, Puerto Rico 00901

Wade H. Creekmore, JR., President PCSouth, Inc. 125 South Congress Street, Suite 1100 Jackson, MS 39201

Christopher Q. Longley, President Quantum Communications Group, Inc. 7901 Flying Cloud Drive, Suite 250 Eden Prairie, MN 55344

M. Friedman, President R.F.W. Inc. 1133 Sixth Avenue, 38th Floor New York, NY 10016

Robert L. Johnson, President R & S PCS, Inc. 1900 West Place, North East Washington, DC 20018

Darrell Maynard, President SouthEast Telephone Limited Partnership, Ltd. 317 Main Street, 4th Floor Pikeville, KY 41501

Stan Sigman, President & CEO Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems, Inc. 17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A Dallas, TX 75252

Ronald T. Lemay, President & COO SprintCom, Inc. 4717 Grand Avenue Kansas City, MO 64112

Benjamin Scott, President & CEO U S West Communications 6 Campus Circle, Room 6300 Westlake, TX 76262

Sydney L. Small, President Urban Communicators PCS 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, North West, Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036

Vincent D. McBride, CEO 2655 30th Street, Suite 203 Santa Monica, CA 90405

James S. Quarforth, Chairman Virginia PCS Alliance 401 Spring Lane, Suite 300 Waynesboro, VA 22980

Joan S. Ducote Wireless 2000, Inc. 219 North Washington Street Marksville, LA 71351

Tim Khayat, Secretary Wireless Ventures, Inc. 3122 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, OH 44115

Stephen M. Roberts Eldorado Communications, L.L.C. 860 Ridge Lake Boulevard, Suite 312 Memphis, TN 38120

Henry I. Buchanan, III, Vice President Of Industry Affairs Rural Telephone Finance Cooperative 2201 Cooperative Way Herndon, VA 20171

OCharles C. Curtis, President OnQue Communications, Inc. 817 North East 63rd Street Oklahoma City, OK 73105

John Q. Hearne, Chairman Point Enterprises, Inc. 100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1000 Santa Monica, CA 90401

Ian S. Crowe, Senior Vice President TD Securities 31 West 52nd Street New York, NY 10019-6101

David G. Fernald, Jr., President MFRI Inc. 110 Washington Street East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

Ken W. Bray, Inventor 6389 Cohasset Road Chico, CA 95973

Creative Airtime Services, LLC c/o Russ Taylor
Gardner, Carton & Douglass
1301 K Street, North West
Suite 900, East Tower
Washington, DC 20005

General Wireless, Inc. c/o Jay L. Birnbaum Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP 1440 New York Avenue, North West Washington, DC 20005

Walter H. Alford BellSouth Corporation 1155 Peachtree Street, North East Suite 1800 Atlanta, GA 30309-2641

Morris Communications, Inc. c/o Frederick M. Joyce Joyce & Jacobs, Attorneys at Law, L.L.P. 1019 19th Street, PH-2 Washington, DC 20036

Cook Inlet Region, Inc. c/o Joe D. Edge Drinker, Biddle & Reath, LLP 901 Fifteenth Street, North West, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005

Pinnacle Telecom, L.P. of Jackson Mississippi c/o James U. Troup Arter & Hadden 1801 K Street, North West, Suite 400K Washington, DC 20006-1301

The September 17 Alliance c/o Sylvia Lesse Kraskin & Lesse, LLP 2120 L Street, North West, Suite 520 Washington, DC 20037

Omnipoint Corporation c/o Mark J. O'Connor Piper & Marbury, L.L.P. 1200 19th Street, North West, Seventh Floor Washington, DC 20036

Alpine PCS, Inc. c/o Gerald S. McGowan Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez, Chartered 1111 19th Street, North West, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Craig Shirley, Co-Chair Spectrum Watch 122 South Patrick Street Alexandria, VA 22314

The Small Business Coalition c/o Sylvia Lesse Kraskin & Lesse, LLP 2120 L Street, North West, Suite 520 Washington, DC 20037

DiGiPH PCS, Inc. c/o Jeanne M. Walsh Kurtis & Associates, P.C. 2000 M Street, North West, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036

Pocket Communications, Inc. c/o Lynn R. Charytan Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, North West Washington, DC 20037

Conxus Communications, Inc. c/o Gerald S. McGowan Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez, Chartered 1111 19th Street, North West, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Central Wireless Partnership c/o Jacob S. Farber Dickstein, Shapiro, Morin & Oshinsky, LLP 2101 L Street, North West Washington, DC 20037-1526

The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Pocket Communications, Inc. c/o Howard Seife
Winston & Strawn
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166

Fortunet Communications, L.P. c/o Nandan M. Joshi
Latham & Watkins
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West, Suite 1300
Washington, DC 20004-2505

John M. Dolan Northcoast Communications, LLC 6800 Jericho Turnpike, Suite 120 West Syosset, NY 11791

Norman C. Frost, Managing Director Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. 245 Park Avenue New York, NY 10167

Sprint Corporation c/o Jay C. Keithley 1850 M Street, North West Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20554

Michael Czerwinski, President of the General Partner, Wireless Management Corporation Meretel Communications Limited Partnership One Lakeshore Drive, Suite 1900 Lake Charles, LA 70629

Jeffrey E. Smith, Deputy General Council Comcast Corporation 480 East Swedesford Road Wayne, PA 19087

Mark Blake, President Community Service Communications, Inc. 33 Main Street, P.O. Box 400 Winthrop, ME 04364

Herbert R. Bivens, Vice President of General Partner Tennessee L.P. 121 311 North Chancery Street McMinnville, TN 37110

Craig Osvog, General Manager Brookings Municipal Utilities 525 Western Avenue P.O. Box 588 Brookings, SD 57006

Rhonda McKenzie, President/CEO MTG, Inc. McKenzie Telecommunications Group 15721 North Greenway-Hayden Loop, Suite 101 Scottsdale, AZ 85260

William D. Chamblin, III, President Conestoga Wireless Company 661 Moore Road, Suite 110 King of Prussia, PA 19406

Glenn S. Rabin, Federal Regulatory Counsel Alltel Services Corporation, Inc. 655 15th Street, North West, Suite 220 Washington, DC 20554

Larry A. Blosser MCI Communications Corporation 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West Washington, DC 20006

Gregg E. Johnson, President BIA Capital Corporation 14595 Avion Parkway, Suite 500 Chantilly, VA 20151

Seth Stark Horizon PCS, Inc. 68 East Main Street Chillicothe, OH 45601

Pioneer Telephone Association, Inc. c/o David L. Nace, Esquire Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez 1111 19th Street, North West, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Mr. James Garner
Bay Springs Telephone Company, Inc.
236 East Capital Street, P.O. Box 22923
Jackson, MS 39225

Airadigm Communications, Inc. c/o Paul C. Besozzi Patton Boggs, LLP 2550 M Street, North West, 4th Floor Washington, DC 20037

Nextwave Telecom, Inc. c/o Thomas Gutierrez Lukas, McGowan, Nace, & Gutierrez, Chartered 1111 19th Street, North West, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

National Wireless Resellers Association c/o Douglas L. Povich Kelly & Povich, P.C. 1101 30th Street, North West, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

The National Association of Black Owned Broadcasters, Inc. c/o James L. Winston
Rubin, Winston, Diercks, Harris & Cooke, L.L.P.
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, North West, Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20036

Indus, Inc.
c/o Philip L. Verveer
Willkie Farr & Gallagher
1155 21st Street, North West, Suite 600
Three Lafayette Centre
Washington, DC 20036-3384

The National Telephone Cooperative Association c/o David Cosson 2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West Washington, DC 20037

Chase Telecommunications, Inc. c/o Philip L. Verveer
Willkie Farr & Gallagher
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, North West, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036-3384

SouthEast Telephone Limited Partnership, Ltd. c/o Richard S. Myers
Myers Keller Communications Law Group
1522 K Street, North West, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005

Americall International, LLC c/o Julia F. Kogan, Esquire, Vice President/ General Cousel 2700 North Central Avenue, Suite 1010A Phoenix, AZ 85004

David C. Leach
Earle H. O'Donnell
Kristen M. Neller
Dewey Ballantine
1775 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West
Washington, DC 20006

Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS c/o Cheryl A. Tritt Morrison & Foerster, LLP 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West, Suite 5500 Washington, DC 20006

R & S PCS, Inc. c/o Leonard J. Kennedy Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, PLLC 1200 New Hampshire Avenue, North West, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036

Robert S. Foosaner, Vice President and Chief Regulatory Officer Nextel Communications, Inc. 1450 G Street, North West, Suite 425 Washington, DC 20005

Holland Wireless, L.L.C. c/o David L. Nace Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez, Chartered 1111 19th Street, North West, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Wireless 2000, Inc. c/o David L. Nace Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez, Chartered 1111 19th Street, North West, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Northern Michigan PCS Consortium L.L.C. c/o David L. Nace Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez, Chartered 1111 19th Street, North West, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

PCSouth, Inc. c/o David L. Nace Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez, Chartered 1111 19th Street, North West, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Communications Venture PCS Limited Partnership c/o David L. Nace Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez, Chartered 1111 19th Street, North West, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Comscape Telecommunications of Charleston License, Inc. c/o Eliot J. Greenwald Swidler & Berlin, Chartered 3000 K Street, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007-5116

Meretel Communications Attn: Tom Henning One Lakeshore Drive, Suite 1900 Lake Charles, LA 70629

Iowa L.P. 136 c/o James U. Troup Arter & Hadden 1801 K Street, North West, Suite 400K Washington, DC 20006-1301

Signed this $\frac{1}{2}$ day of $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{19}{2}$.

Tina Lynn Little

THE RICHARD L. VEGA GROUP

Telecommunications Engineers/Consultants

1245 W. Fairbanks Avenue, Suite 380
Winter Park, FL 32789-4878

(407)539-6540 ♦ FAX: (407)539-6547 ♦ email: vega@magicnet.net



VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

June 23, 1997

William F. Caton, Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Re:

Comments to WT-Docket 97-82; DA-97-679:

Broadband PCS C and F Block Installment Payment Issues:

Duluth PCS, Inc., St. Joseph PCS, Inc., and West Virginia PCS, Inc.

Dear Mr. Caton:

Submitted herewith, are twelve(12) duplicates and one(1) original copy, on behalf of Duluth PCS, Inc., et al. each a licensee of numerous Personal Communications Services ("PCS") markets throughout the United States, are its comments in response to the Federal Communications Commission Public Notice, WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS BUREAU SEEKS COMMENTS ON BROADBAND PCS C AND F BLOCK INSTALLMENT PAYMENT ISSUES, WT Docket 97-82; DA 97-678, released June 2, 1997. These comments were inadvertently sent to and received by Mellon Bank on Monday, June 23, 1997. As such, it is respectfully requested that the Commission treat these comments as timely filed.

Should the Commission have any questions concerning these matters please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely

Richard L. Vega, Jr.

President

Encl:

cc: Duluth PCS, Inc., et al.

THE RICHARD L. VEGA GROUP

Telecommunications Engineers/Consultants

1245 W. Fairbanks Avenue, Suite 380
Winter Park, FL 32789-4878
(407)539-6540 ◆ FAX: (407)539-6547 ◆ email: vega@magicnet.net



VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

June 20, 1997

William F. Caton, Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Re:

Comments to WT-Docket 97-82; DA-97-679:

Broadband PCS C and F Block Installment Payment Issues:

Duluth PCS, Inc., St. Joseph PCS, Inc., and West Virginia PCS, Inc.

Dear Mr. Caton:

Submitted herewith, in original and twelve (12) copies, on behalf of Duluth PCS, Inc., et al. each a licensee of numerous Personal Communications Services ("PCS") markets throughout the United States, are its comments in response to the Federal Communications Commission Public Notice, WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS BUREAU SEEKS COMMENTS ON BROADBAND PCS C AND F BLOCK INSTALLMENT PAYMENT ISSUES, WT Docket 97-82; DA 97-678, released June 2, 1997. These comments are being submitted on or before the June 23, 1997, response date.

Should the Commission have any questions concerning these matters please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely.

Richard L. Vega, Jr.

President

Encl:

cc: Duluth PCS, Inc., et al.

Honorable Chairman Reed Hundt

Honorable Commissioner James Quello

Honorable Commissioner Susan Ness

Honorable Commissioner Rachel Chong

(2) Auctions & Industry Analysis Division

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
) WT Docket 97-82
Broadband PCS C and F Block) DA 97-679
)
Installment Payment Issues) .
)

COMMENTS ON BROADBAND PCS C AND F BLOCK INSTALLMENT PAYMENT ISSUES

In response to WT Docket 97-82, these comments are submitted on behalf of Duluth PCS, Inc., St. Joseph PCS, Inc. and West Virginia PCS, Inc., ("Licensees" or "the Licensees"), winning C block bidders for Duluth, Minnesota and St. Joseph, Missouri as well as winning F block bidders for Parkersburg and Logan, West Virginia and Kirksville, Missouri. These comments are being filed by the President of these companies, Richard L. Vega, Sr.

Richard L. Vega, Sr. is also Chairman of The Richard L. Vega Group, Inc., a Telecommunications Engineering and Management Consulting Company that has been providing its services to the wireless industry for the past twenty-five years.

The aforementioned Licensees, in response to the Commission's request for "alternative proposals for calculating the present value of the broadband PCS C and F block debt to the government that would permit licensees to prepay the debt based on the net present value" offer proposals for the C and F block debt. The Licensees respectively request that the following proposals be adopted only for existing C and F block licensees with less than \$40 million in annual gross revenue:

C BLOCK DEBT RESTRUCTURE/PREPAYMENT PROPOSAL

• For secondary and tertiary markets (defined as markets outside of the top 100 markets in terms of population size), revalue the debt owed to the government by winning C block bidders to the average of the D and E block winning bids for the respective market, times a multiplier of 2.25, less all previous interest and principal payments which should be treated as if they were principal payments to arrive at the restructured debt balance. A multiplier is necessary to reflect the 30 MHz of bandwidth of the C block license versus the 10 MHz of bandwidth for the individual D and E block licenses. The multiplier to be applied to the average winning bids of the 10 MHz D and E block licenses should not be 3 for these markets. The rationale for this is that 10 MHz of spectrum is ample capacity to serve the public given the potential for 8 wireless carriers in these less densely populated markets. The use of a 2.25 multiplier would recognize the intrinsic value of additional

- spectrum for future wireless services that are not presently projected as revenue in a carriers' existing business planning model. Upon revaluing the debt, the FCC should provide the C block licensee with up to 1 year from the date of the restructuring to pay the new reduced debt balance. It is also recommended that interest would *not* accrue during the 1 year period (See Exhibit A for an example calculation).
- For top 100 markets, revalue the debt owed to the FCC by winning C block bidders to the average of the D and E block winning bids for the respective market, times a multiplier of 3, less all previous interest and principal payments which should be treated as if they were principal payments to arrive at the restructured debt balance. A multiplier of 3 is warranted for these markets to reflect the extreme difference in population density which ultimately would require a successful D and E block operator to acquire additional spectrum in order to further grow its customer base. Upon revaluing the debt, the FCC should provide the C block licensee with up to 1 year from the date of the restructuring to pay the new reduced debt balance. It is also recommended that interest would not accrue during the 1 year period.

F BLOCK DEBT RESTRUCTURE/PREPAYMENT PROPOSAL

For all markets, the FCC should revalue the debt by discounting all of the cash outlays required of the licensee in each respective market under the existing financing plan utilizing a discount rate of between 15% to 20% and then subtract all previous interest and principal payments which should be treated as if they were principal payments in order to arrive at the restructured debt balance. A 15% to 20% discount rate should be utilized by the Commission to reflect the high uncertainty and risk that exists with respect to F block licensees being able to acquire the necessary capital to construct their systems and absorb extensive operating losses in the first few years of operations (as opposed to the large D and E block entities). The use of a discount rate of between 15% to 20% is appropriate given that high yield junk bonds and venture capital with their extreme cost of capital may be the only financing source available to truly small businesses desiring to participate in the industry. Upon revaluing the debt, the FCC should provide the F block licensees with up to 1 year from the date of the restructuring to pay the new reduced debt balance. It is also recommended that interest would not accrue during the 1 year period (See Exhibit B for an example calculation).

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL RELIEF

In addition to the aforementioned request to the Commission to allow the truly small business entities with C and F block licenses the opportunity to prepay their license debt under restructured terms, the Licensees also respectfully petition the Commission for the following on behalf of *all* C block and F block licensees:

- Extend all PCS license terms to 20 years to reduce the business risk of the existing licensees and prospective creditors that is associated with the licensee's ability to obtain the necessary capital investment in order to construct the PCS system and sustain the operation until the business is able to generate positive free cash flow.
- Modify the C block control group rules so that they afford a greater opportunity for existing licensees to transfer control of their licenses in order to attract the necessary capital investment to construct systems and absorb the extensive operating losses in the first few years of operation.
- Permit the transfer of C block licenses before the end of the 5 year holding period without "unjust

- enrichment" payments in recognition of the high degree of risk that existing licensees have already undertaken in order to attempt to provide consumers with extensive competition for wireless service offerings. In so doing, the Commission would be assisting the industry's efforts to attract capital investment which is consistent with the public interest.
- Increase the level of foreign equity permitted to greater than 50% in order to avail existing licensees access to global equity markets. Given the large capital investment required to construct and operate competitive PCS systems, the existing rules are not appealing to foreign equity investment because minority interests do not allow for management control over the extensive capital that is at risk.

JUSTIFICATION FOR LICENSEES' PETITIONS TO THE COMMISSION

In response to Congressional direction, the Commission established blocks of frequencies that were to be reserved for Small Business entities (i.e. the C block and F block). During the comment period which established the PCS Rules, it was noted that the Small Business Administration defined a "Small Business" as an entity with less than \$6 million in annual gross revenues. However, the Commission permitted entities with an aggregate of up to \$500 million in gross annual revenues to bid in the C and F blocks. What occurred thereafter is what we are commenting on today.

We respectfully submit that an entity having approximately \$500 million in annual revenue is not a "Small Business" by any reasonable definition of the term to the average American citizen. We argue therefore that these large entities should not have been permitted to compete for the block C and F licenses and that their inclusion in the auction of the C and F block licenses harmed the true Small Business telecommunications entities such as Duluth PCS, Inc. and St. Joseph PCS, Inc. (auction participant known as the RLV-PCS I Partnership). We believe that the strategy of the large entities was to acquire as many of the top 100 markets at any price. We speculate that the underlying rationale for their overly aggressive bidding was that the markets when aggregated were worth more than the sum of the individual pieces. This thought process would be relative to an exit strategy to sell the operations in five to seven years to a deep pocketed player seeking entry into the wireless communications industry with a national footprint.

As a result of the aforementioned aggressive bidding by a small number of larger entities in the C block auction, other C block auction participants like the RLV-PCS I Partnership, which have less than \$40 million in annual gross revenue, were precluded from executing a business strategy to acquire a single top 100 market which represented the best "value" or lowest price per pop. It was evident early on in the auction that a top 100 market was "out of reach" of the Licensees due to the aggressive bidding of a handful of large C block entities. Accordingly, the auction and business strategy of the Licensees had to be refocused on secondary and tertiary markets. Unfortunately, the Licensees found that even the bidding for these markets was intense, resulting in substantially higher than anticipated net winning bids. We speculate that there was more demand for these markets from other smaller entities which were also relegated to bidding only for the secondary and tertiary markets. Evidence of a small number of larger entities driving the true Small Business telecommunications entities to the auction sidelines is the fact that of the approximately 255 bidders that were originally qualified to bid in the C block auction, only 89 bidders survived to acquire 1 or more of the 493 available licenses. The C block auction resulted in 2 bidders alone accounting for 99 of the 493 licenses. Further, 15 bidders acquired 10 or more licenses. This group of 15 bidders accounted for 273 of the 493 licenses.